

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 IN RE: BABY FOOD PRODUCTS
12 LIABILITY LITIGATION,

Case No. 24-md-03101-JSC

13 **PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 14**
14 **REGARDING APPOINTMENTS OF**
15 **GUARDIANS AD LITEM**

16 v.

17 This document relates to:

18 ALL ACTIONS.
19
20
21

22 This Order shall govern the process for the for the appointment of guardians *ad litem*
23 for Plaintiffs who require appointment of a guardian *ad litem* under Federal Rule of Civil
24 Procedure 17.
25

26 **GOVERNING LAW**

27 Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure “[a] minor or an incompetent person who
28 does not have a duly appointed representative may sue by a next friend or by a *guardian ad*
litem.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(c)(2). Therefore, the “court must appoint a guardian *ad litem*—or issue
another appropriate order—to protect a minor or incompetent person who is unrepresented in an
action.” *Id.* (emphasis applied).¹

¹ California procedural law also states that a minor “shall appear either by a guardian or conservator of the
estate or by a guardian *ad litem* appointed by the court in which the action or proceeding is pending, or by
ORDER REGARDING APPOINTMENTS OF
GUARDIANS AD LITEM
RE: DKT. NO. 404 24-MD-03101-JSC

1 The capacity to sue is determined by the law of the individual’s domicile. Fed. R. Civ. P.
 2 17(b)(1). For example, an individual under the age of eighteen is a minor without the capacity to
 3 sue in California. *See* Cal. Fam. Code § 6502.

4 The decision to appoint a guardian *ad litem* under Rule 17(c) is at the sound discretion of
 5 the trial court and is typically made on an *ex parte* application. *See A.G.*, 2018 WL 2002370, at *2
 6 (citing *Davis v. Walker*, 745 F.3d 1303, 1310 (9th Cir. 2014) and *Student A v. Berkeley Unified*
 7 *Sch. Dist.*, No. 17-cv-02510-MEJ, 2017 WL 2171254, at *1 (N.D. Cal. May 17, 2017) (“When
 8 there is no conflict of interest, the guardian *ad litem* appointment is usually made on *ex parte*
 9 application and involves minimal exercise of discretion by the trial court.”)).

10 “Fit parents are presumed to act in the best interests of their children.” *J.B. by &*
 11 *Through Billiet v. Tuolumne Cnty. Superintendent of Schools*, No. 19-cv-0858-NONE-EPG,
 12 2021 WL 3115195, at *2 (E.D. Cal. July 22, 2021) (citing *Troxel v. Granville*, 530 U.S. 57, 66
 13 (2000); *Doe v. Heck*, 327 F.3d 492, 521 (7th Cir. 2003)); *see also Brown v. Alexander*, No. 13-
 14 cv-01451-RS, 2015 WL 7350183 at *2 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 20, 2015) (“In general, a parent who is
 15 also a party to the lawsuit is presumed to be a suitable guardian *ad litem*, and so the court often
 16 appoints the parent as guardian *ad litem* upon receipt of an *ex parte* application without
 17 exercising much discretion.”) (citation omitted). Absent a conflict of interest, “[a] parent is
 18 generally appointed guardian *ad litem*.” *A.G.*, 2018 WL 2002370, at *3 (citing *Anthem Life Ins.*
 19 *Co. v. Olguin*, No. 06-cv-01165-AWI NEW (TAG), 2007 WL 1390672, at *3 (E.D. Cal. May 9,
 20 2007)); *accord J.M. v. Liberty Union High Sch. Dist.*, No. 16-cv-05225-LB, 2016 WL 4942999,
 21 at *2 (N.D. Cal. Sept.16, 2016). However, “[w]hen there is a potential conflict between a
 22 perceived parental responsibility and an obligation to assist the court in achieving a just and
 23 speedy determination of the action, a court has the right to select a guardian *ad litem* who is not
 24 a parent if that guardian would best protect the child’s interests.” *J.M.*, 2016 WL 4942999, at *1
 25 (internal quotation marks omitted).

26
 27 a judge thereof.” Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 372(a). While instructive, “this Court is not bound by California
 28 procedural law with regard to the appointment of a guardian *ad litem*.” *A.G. v. South Bay Dreams Coop.*,
 No. 16-cv-02598, 2018 WL 2002370, at *1, n. 1 (S.D. Cal. April 30, 2018).

REQUIRED PROCEDURE

Consistent with the applicable law and rules set forth above, the Court orders as follows:

A. Cases Currently Pending in this MDL

1. All individual plaintiffs who are “minors,” i.e., they lack the capacity to sue in the state where they are domiciled, and whose cases have been filed or transferred to this MDL on the date of this Order, must submit to Plaintiffs’ Liaison Counsel, within 60 days of this Order, (a) an *Ex Parte* Application for Appointment of Guardian *Ad Litem* (“Application”) consistent with Attachment A hereto, or (b) an order demonstrating that a guardian, conservator, or other representative authorized to represent the minor’s interest in this case has already been appointed by a state or federal court.

2. The Application requires the following information be submitted under penalty of perjury:

- a. The applicant’s name and contact information (including address, email, and telephone number);
- b. The name, case number, state of domicile (and its minimum age of capacity), date of birth, and attorney of record of the minor plaintiff whom the applicant seeks to represent;
- c. The applicant’s relationship to the minor plaintiff (e.g., parent or legal guardian, or a statement of the applicant’s relationship to the minor plaintiff); and
- d. A statement affirming that the applicant is fully competent and qualified to understand and protect the rights of the minor plaintiff and has no interests adverse to the interests of that person.

3. Plaintiffs’ Liaison Counsel shall compile the completed Applications and/or orders and submit them to the Court for consideration in a Consolidated *Ex Parte* Application for Appointment of Guardian *Ad Litem* (“Consolidated *Ex Parte* Application”).

1 4. Applications submitted by parents or legal guardians shall be deemed
2 presumptively approved upon filing. There is no apparent conflict between the applicants'
3 parental responsibility and their obligation to assist the Court in "achieving a just and speedy
4 determination of the action." *J.M.*, 2016 WL 4942999, at *2.

5 5. Absent the filing of an objection, the presumptive approval shall become
6 final 15 days after the Consolidated *Ex Parte* Application is filed. Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel
7 shall provide a consolidated proposed order to the Court appointing those approved
8 applicants.

9 6. For applicants who are not the minor plaintiff's parent or legal guardian, the
10 Application shall be taken under consideration by the Court. Any objection must be filed
11 within 15 days of the filing of the Consolidated *Ex Parte* Application.

12 7. Should a minor plaintiff not propose a guardian *ad litem* within 60 days of
13 this Order, Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel shall work with counsel for the minor plaintiff and/or
14 the Court to identify a suitable candidate. *See Anthem Life Ins. Co.*, 2007 WL 1390672, at *2
15 ("If a close relative is unavailable and the child has no conflict-free general representative,
16 the court may appoint a friend of the plaintiff or his family, a professional who has worked
17 with the child, or in desperate circumstances, a stranger whom the court finds to be
18 especially suitable for representing the child's interests.").

19 **B. Cases Subsequently Filed in or Transferred to the MDL**

20 1. Minor plaintiffs whose cases are filed or transferred to this MDL after the date of
21 this Order shall, within 60 days appearance, file either (a) an *Ex Parte* Application for
22 Appointment of Guardian *Ad Litem* ("Application") consistent with Attachment A, or (b) an order
23 demonstrating that a guardian, conservator, or other representative authorized to represent the
24 minor's interest in this case has already been appointed by a state or federal court.

25 2. The same presumptions and procedures set forth in Section A.4.-7, *supra*, shall
26 apply.
27
28

1 3. Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs shall remain available to assist the Court in
2 managing Applications going forward as needed.
3

4
5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: March 10, 2025

7 
8 JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Attachment A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: BABY FOOD PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION,

v.

This document relates to:

ALL ACTIONS.

Case No. 24-md-03101-JSC

**EX PARTE APPLICATION AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER FOR
APPOINTMENT OF GUARDIAN
*AD LITEM***

Pursuant to this Court's Order Regarding Appointments of Guardian *Ad Litem*, the undersigned Applicant hereby seeks an appointment as a guardian *ad litem* in this litigation.

1. Guardian *Ad Litem* Applicant Name:

2. Applicant Contact Information:

a. Address:

b. Telephone number:

c. Email:

3. The Applicant seeks to represent the interests of the following plaintiff (referred to hereinafter as "Minor Plaintiff") in the above-captioned litigation:

a. Name:

b. State of Domicile:

c. Minimum Age of Capacity to Sue in State of Domicile:

d. Date of Birth:

e. Case Number:

f. Attorney of Record:

- 1 4. The Minor Plaintiff is a minor without the capacity to sue pursuant to the laws of their state of
2 domicile.
- 3 5. The Court should appoint a guardian *ad litem* pursuant to the Court's Order Regarding
4 Appointments of Guardian *Ad Litem*, and the Minor Plaintiff has no other appointed guardian
5 or conservator of their estate authorized to act on their behalf in this litigation.
- 6 6. The applicant and proposed guardian *ad litem* has the following relationship to the Minor
7 Plaintiff they are seeking to represent is (*select one*):
- 8 a. Parent ____
- 9 b. Legal Guardian ____
- 10 c. Not Related (*explain relationship*): _____
- 11 7. The Applicant is fully competent and qualified to understand and protect the rights of the
12 person they will represent and has no interests adverse to the interests of that person. (*If there*
13 *are any issues of competency or qualification or any possible adverse interests, describe and*
14 *explain why the proposed guardian should nevertheless be appointed.*)

15 Submitted by:

16 Date:

17
18
19 Attorney Name (Printer)

Attorney Signature

APPLICANT DECLARATION

I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

Applicant Name (Printed)

Applicant Signature

CONSENT TO ACT AS GUARDIAN *AD LITEM*

I consent to the appointment of guardian *ad litem* under the above petition.

Date:

Applicant Name (Printed)

Applicant Signature

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, the Court finds that it is reasonable and necessary to appoint a guardian *ad litem* for the Minor Plaintiff identified in paragraph 3 as requested.

The Court orders that the above-identified Applicant is hereby appointed guardian *ad litem* for the Minor Plaintiff identified in paragraph 3, above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date:

JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
United States District Judge